

String Theory, Quantum Mechanics and Noncommutative Geometry

- *A new perspective on the gravitational dynamics of D0-Branes* -

T. P. Singh¹

*Tata Institute of Fundamental Research,
Homi Bhabha Road, Mumbai 400 005, India.*

Abstract

We do not know the symmetries underlying string theory. Furthermore, there must exist an inherently quantum, and spacetime independent, formulation of this theory. Independent of string theory, there should exist a description of quantum mechanics which does not refer to a classical spacetime manifold. We propose such a formulation of quantum mechanics, based on noncommutative geometry. This description reduces to standard quantum mechanics, whenever an external classical spacetime is available. However, near the Planck energy scale, self-gravity effects modify the Schrödinger equation to the non-linear Doebner-Goldin equation. Remarkably, this non-linear equation also arises in the quantum dynamics of D0-branes. This suggests that the noncommutative quantum dynamics proposed here is actually the quantum gravitational dynamics of D0-branes, and that automorphism invariance is a symmetry of string theory.

*This essay received an honorable mention in the
Gravity Research Foundation Essay Competition, 2006*

¹e-mail address: tpsingh@tifr.res.in

String theory has had numerous successes. However, one still does not know what are the symmetry principles which underlie the theory [1]. One can get a glimpse of the underlying symmetry by recalling two facts. Firstly, spacetime is an emergent concept in string theory; hence there must exist a more complete formulation of the theory which does not refer to a classical spacetime. Secondly, duality symmetries can map a strongly quantum process in one string theory to a weakly quantum process in another string theory, suggesting that the fundamental formulation of string theory/M-theory should be inherently quantum, and not merely the result of a traditional quantization of a classical theory. Together, these two facts show that there should exist an ‘inherently quantum’ formulation of string theory/M-theory, which should not refer to a classical spacetime.

Independent of string theory, there must exist a more fundamental formulation of quantum mechanics, which does not refer to a classical spacetime. This is because time evolution can naturally be defined only when a spacetime manifold is given. Now, the spacetime metric which overlies the classical manifold is produced by classical matter fields. In principle the Universe could be in a state in which there are no classical matter fields. The spacetime metric would then undergo quantum fluctuations, and in accordance with the Einstein hole argument [2] one can no longer assign any physical significance to the underlying classical spacetime manifold. In this essay we shall propose such a fundamental formulation of quantum mechanics (without any prior motivation from string theory) using the language of noncommutative differential geometry. In the process we find that the new formulation resembles a description of the gravitational dynamics of D0-branes in string theory/M-theory. This suggests that the sought for underlying symmetry of string theory/M-theory may have a deep connection with the principles of noncommutative geometry.

Again, independent of string theory, there is additional evidence that Einstein equations should not be quantized in the traditional mold, because they arise as a thermodynamic equation of state [3] when one assumes a proportionality between horizon area and entropy, along with the Clausius relation $dQ = TdS$, for all local Rindler horizons in a spacetime. Classical gravitation is seen as an emergent phenomenon, and hence so is the presently known formulation of quantum mechanics, which requires an external classical gravitational field to be specified, so as to define time evolution. Noncommutative geometry provides an arena for a theory of quantum gravity, from which both general relativity and quantum mechanics emerge as approximations.

The topological and differential properties of a differentiable Riemannian manifold can be expressed algebraically in terms of the so-called spectral triple, which consists of a commutative algebra $\mathcal{A}$, a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ and a self-adjoint operator $\mathcal{D}$. When the algebra is made noncommutative, the geometry that is correspondingly obtained is called noncommutative differential geometry - it is a natural generalization of Riemannian geometry. Diffeomorphisms on the manifold can be mapped to the automorphisms of the algebra; when the algebra is noncommutative its automorphisms represent corresponding diffeomorphisms on the noncommutative space. If a physical noncommutative space possesses a symmetry representing invariance under diffeomorphisms, this symmetry can be expressed as an invariance under the algebra automorphisms - we call such a symmetry automorphism invariance. An attractive property of a noncommutative geometry is the Tomita-Takesaki theorem, which defines a one-parameter group of automorphisms of the algebra, hence giving the possibility of defining the much needed concept of time in a quantum theory of gravity [4].

We propose that noncommutative geometry is the framework for a formulation of quantum mechanics which does not refer to a classical (i.e. Riemannian) spacetime manifold. We suggest the concept of a noncommuting coordinate system, which ‘covers’ a noncommutative manifold, wherein commutation relations between coordinates are introduced on physical grounds. The new formulation of quantum dynamics, which is given in such a coordinate system, is invariant under transformation (automorphism) from the given coordinate system to another noncommuting coordinate system. This generalizes general covariance to the noncommutative case, and we are proposing that the theory is invariant under transformations of noncommuting coordinates. This formulation satisfies two important properties. In the limit in which the system becomes macroscopic, the noncommutative spacetime is indistinguishable from ordinary commutative spacetime, and the dynamics reduces to classical dynamics. Secondly, if a dominant part of the system becomes macroscopic and classical, and a sub-dominant part remains quantum (as our Universe is) then seen from the viewpoint of the dominant part, the quantum dynamics of the sub-dominant part is the same as the quantum dynamics known to us. In this sense, standard quantum mechanics is recovered from its underlying noncommutative formulation.

Consider a system of quantum mechanical particles having a total mass-energy much less than Planck mass m_{Pl} , and assume that no external classical spacetime manifold is available. Since Planck mass scales inversely with the gravitational constant, we are justified here in neglecting the gravitational field, and the resulting quantum spacetime produced by the system will be called a ‘quantum Minkowski spacetime’. To describe the dynamics using noncommutative geometry consider a particle with mass $m \ll m_{Pl}$ in a 2-d noncommutative spacetime with coordinates $(\hat{x}, \hat{t})$. Generalization to higher dimensions and the multi-particle case is straightforward [5]. (Later below we will consider the important case where the mass-energy of the system is comparable to Planck mass and gravity can no longer be neglected).

On the quantum Minkowski spacetime we introduce the non-Hermitean flat metric

$$\hat{\eta}_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (1)$$

and the corresponding noncommutative line-element

$$ds^2 = \hat{\eta}_{\mu\nu} d\hat{x}^\mu d\hat{x}^\nu = d\hat{t}^2 - d\hat{x}^2 + d\hat{t}d\hat{x} - d\hat{x}d\hat{t} \quad (2)$$

which is invariant under a generalized Lorentz transformation [5].

Noncommutative dynamics is constructed by defining a velocity $\hat{u}^i = d\hat{x}^i/ds$, which, from (2), satisfies the relation

$$1 = \hat{\eta}_{\mu\nu} \frac{d\hat{x}^\mu}{ds} \frac{d\hat{x}^\nu}{ds} = (\hat{u}^t)^2 - (\hat{u}^x)^2 + \hat{u}^t \hat{u}^x - \hat{u}^x \hat{u}^t \quad (3)$$

and by defining the generalized momentum as $\hat{p}^i = m\hat{u}^i$, which hence satisfies

$$\hat{p}^\mu \hat{p}_\mu = m^2. \quad (4)$$

Here, $\hat{p}_\mu = \hat{\eta}_{\mu\nu} \hat{p}^\nu$ is well-defined. Written explicitly, this equation becomes

$$(\hat{p}^t)^2 - (\hat{p}^x)^2 + \hat{p}^t \hat{p}^x - \hat{p}^x \hat{p}^t = m^2. \quad (5)$$

Dynamics is constructed by introducing a *complex* action $S(\hat{x}, \hat{t})$ and by defining the momenta introduced above as gradients of this complex action. In analogy with classical mechanics this converts (5) into a (noncommutative) Hamilton-Jacobi equation, which describes the dynamics.

When an external classical Universe with a classical manifold (x, t) becomes available (see below), one defines the generalized momentum (p^t, p^x) in terms of the complex action $S(x, t)$ as

$$p^t = -\frac{\partial S}{\partial t}, \quad p^x = \frac{\partial S}{\partial x} \quad (6)$$

and from (5) the following fundamental rule for relating noncommutative dynamics to standard quantum dynamics [5]

$$(\hat{p}^t)^2 - (\hat{p}^x)^2 + \hat{p}^t \hat{p}^x - \hat{p}^x \hat{p}^t = (p^t)^2 - (p^x)^2 + i\hbar \frac{\partial p^\mu}{\partial x^\mu} = m^2. \quad (7)$$

In terms of the complex action the right hand side of this equation can be written as

$$\left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial t}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial x}\right)^2 - i\hbar \left(\frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial t^2} - \frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial x^2}\right) = m^2 \quad (8)$$

and from here, by defining a quantum state ψ in a natural manner: $\psi = e^{iS/\hbar}$, we arrive at the Klein-Gordon equation

$$-\hbar^2 \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}\right) \psi = m^2 \psi, \quad (9)$$

as desired.

The commutation relations on the non-commutative spacetime are [5]

$$[\hat{t}, \hat{x}] = iL_{Pl}^2, \quad [\hat{p}^t, \hat{p}^x] = iP_{Pl}^2. \quad (10)$$

It is the momentum space noncommutativity which makes our formulation fundamentally different from previous applications of noncommutative geometry to quantum gravity. On the noncommutative 4-d ‘phase space’ corresponding to the two noncommuting coordinates $(\hat{x}, \hat{t})$ there is a natural grid (which is a consequence of noncommutativity) which when projected on the $(\hat{x}, \hat{p}^x)$ plane, has an area $L_{Pl} \times P_{Pl} = \hbar$. When we examine this noncommutative quantum dynamics from our ordinary spacetime, we take the limit $L_{Pl} \rightarrow 0, P_{Pl} \rightarrow \infty$, while keeping their product (the area of the fundamental phase space cell) constant at $\hbar$. From the viewpoint of our classical spacetime, quantum dynamics is then recovered by imposing the commutator $[q, p] = i\hbar$, which preserves the granular structure of the phase space, in quantum mechanics.

If the mass-energy of the particle is not negligible in comparison to Planck mass its self-gravity must be taken into account. The metric (1) gets modified to

$$\hat{h}_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{g}_{tt} & \hat{\theta} \\ -\hat{\theta} & -\hat{g}_{xx} \end{pmatrix} \quad (11)$$

and Eqns.(2), (4), (5), (7) and (8) are respectively replaced by the equations

$$ds^2 = \hat{h}_{\mu\nu} d\hat{x}^\mu d\hat{x}^\nu = \hat{g}_{tt} d\hat{t}^2 - \hat{g}_{xx} d\hat{x}^2 + \hat{\theta}[d\hat{t}d\hat{x} - d\hat{x}d\hat{t}], \quad (12)$$

$$\hat{h}_{\mu\nu}\hat{p}^\mu\hat{p}^\nu = m^2, \quad (13)$$

$$\hat{g}_{tt}(\hat{p}^t)^2 - \hat{g}_{xx}(\hat{p}^x)^2 + \hat{\theta}(\hat{p}^t\hat{p}^x - \hat{p}^x\hat{p}^t) = m^2, \quad (14)$$

$$\hat{g}_{tt}(\hat{p}^t)^2 - \hat{g}_{xx}(\hat{p}^x)^2 + \hat{\theta}(\hat{p}^t\hat{p}^x - \hat{p}^x\hat{p}^t) = g_{tt}(p^t)^2 - g_{xx}(p^x)^2 + i\hbar\theta\frac{\partial p^\mu}{\partial x^\mu}, \quad (15)$$

$$g_{tt}(p^t)^2 - g_{xx}(p^x)^2 + i\hbar\theta\frac{\partial p^\mu}{\partial x^\mu} = m^2. \quad (16)$$

Like in general relativity, the metric $\hat{h}_{\mu\nu}$ is determined by the mass m via the quantum state $S(\hat{x}, \hat{t})$. The field equations are covariant under general coordinate transformations (automorphisms) of noncommuting coordinates. In the macroscopic limit $m \gg m_{Pl}$ the antisymmetric component θ goes to zero; the noncommutative spacetime (12) is indistinguishable from ordinary commutative spacetime, and Eqn. (16) reduces to classical dynamics.

We are now ready to make contact with string theory. If we substitute for the momenta in (16) in terms of the complex action using (6) and then substitute $\psi = e^{iS/\hbar}$ and take the non-relativistic limit, the resulting effective Schrödinger equation is non-linear [5]. It is the same as the Doebner-Goldin equation [6] - the latter arises when one classifies physically different quantum systems by considering unitary representations of the group of diffeomorphisms $Diff(R^3)$. The simplest case is obtained when in (16) one approximates the diagonal metric components to unity, giving the non-linear Schrödinger equation

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\frac{\partial^2\psi}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\hbar^2}{2m}(1-\theta)\left(\frac{\partial^2\psi}{\partial x^2} - \left[\frac{\partial(\ln\psi)}{\partial x}\right]^2\psi\right). \quad (17)$$

In string theory, it is known that the coordinates of D0-branes, being matrices, satisfy a noncommutative geometry. When one considers the quantum dynamics of D0-branes, an effective non-linear Schrödinger equation is arrived at, because of the quantum recoil due to the exchange of string states between individual D-particles [7]. This is analogous to considering the effect of self-gravity on the dynamics, and remarkably, this non-linear equation is again the Doebner-Goldin equation! Now, this equation has a very specific non-linearity structure, and it could not be a coincidence that it should arise in two different contexts, both concerned with quantum spacetime. We hence propose that automorphism invariance, in the manner introduced here, is one of the fundamental symmetries of string theory, and the noncommutative dynamics described above is actually the quantum gravitational dynamics of D0-branes. It is inherently quantum mechanical and does not refer to a classical spacetime, as desired in string theory.

References

- [1] E. Witten, Nature 438, 1085 (2005).
- [2] J. Christian, in Physics meets Philosophy at the Planck scale, (Eds. C. Callender and N. Huggett, 1998, Cambridge University Press) [gr-qc/9810078].
- [3] T. Jacobson, Phys. Rev. Lett. 75, 1260 (1995); T. Padmanabhan, Phys. Rept. 406, 49 (2005).

- [4] A. Connes and C. Rovelli, *Class. and Quant. Grav* 11, 2899 (1994).
- [5] T. P. Singh, in *Proc. 4th Intl. Conf. on Quantum Theory and Symmetries*, (Ed V. Dobrev, 2006) [gr-qc/0510042].
- [6] H.-D. Doebner and G. Goldin, *Phys. Lett. A* 162, 397 (1992); G. Goldin, *quant-ph/0002013*.
- [7] N. E. Mavromatos and R. Szabo, *Intl. J. Mod. Phys. A* 16, 209 (2001).